arXiv:1007.0687v1 [math.PR] 5 Jul 2010

# TYPE $A$ DISTRIBUTIONS: INFINITELY DIVISIBLE DISTRIBUTIONS RELATED TO ARCSINE DENSITY

Makoto Maejima,[1] Víctor Pérez-Abreu [2] [3] and Ken-iti Sato[4]

Abstract. Two transformations $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ of Lévy measures on $\mathbb{R}^d$ based on the arcsine density are studied and their relation to general Upsilon transformations is considered. The domains of definition of $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ are determined and it is shown that they have the same range. Infinitely divisible distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with Lévy measures being in the common range are called type $A$ distributions and expressed as the law of a stochastic integral $\int_0^1 \cos(2^{-1}\pi t)dX_t$ with respect to Lévy process $\{X_t\}$. This new class includes as a proper subclass the Jurek class of distributions. It is shown that generalized type $G$ distributions are the image of type $A$ distributions under a mapping defined by an appropriate stochastic integral. $\mathcal{A}_2$ is identified as an Upsilon transformation, while $\mathcal{A}_1$ is shown to be not.

*Keywords*: infinitely divisible distribution; arcsine density; Lévy measure; type $A$ distribution; generalized type $G$ distribution; general Upsilon transformation

## 1. Introduction

Let $I(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denote the class of all infinitely divisible distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$. For $\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we use the Lévy-Khintchine representation of its characteristic function $\widehat{\mu}(z)$ given by

$$\widehat{\mu}(z) = \exp\Bigg\{ -\frac{1}{2}\langle \Sigma z, z\rangle + \mathrm{i}\langle \gamma, z\rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\langle x,z\rangle} - 1 - \frac{\mathrm{i}\langle x.z\rangle}{1+|x|^2} \right) \nu(\mathrm{d}x) \Bigg\}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $\Sigma$ is a symmetric nonnegative-definite $d \times d$ matrix, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\nu$ is a measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$ (called the Lévy measure) satisfying $\nu(\{0\}) = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(1 \wedge |x|^2)\nu(\mathrm{d}x) < \infty$. The triplet $(\Sigma, \nu, \gamma)$ is called the Lévy-Khintchine triplet of $\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $\mathfrak{M}_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$

---

[1] Department of Mathematics, Keio University, 3-14-1, Hiyoshi, Yokohama 223-8522, Japan

[2] Department of Probability and Statistics, Centro de Investigación en Matemáticas, CIMAT, Apdo. Postal 402, Guanajuato, Gto. 36000, Mexico

[3] Most of this work was done while the author visited Keio University in Japan. He gratefully acknowledges the hospitality and financial support during his stay

[4] Hachiman-yama 1101-5-103, Tenpaku-ku, Nagoya 468-0074, Japan

denote the class of Lévy measures of $\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The class of Lévy measures $\nu$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(1 \wedge |x|)\nu(\mathrm{d}x) < \infty$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{M}_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Sometimes we write $\mathfrak{M}_L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathfrak{M}_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$. A measure $\nu$ on $(0,\infty)$ is also called a Lévy measure if it satisfies $\int_{(0,\infty)}(1 \wedge x^2)\nu(\mathrm{d}x) < \infty$, and denote by $\mathfrak{M}_L((0,\infty))$ the class of all Lévy measures on $(0,\infty)$.

Let

$$a(x;s) = \begin{cases} \pi^{-1}(s-x^2)^{-1/2}, & |x| < s^{1/2}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

which is the density of the symmetric arcsine law with parameter $s > 0$. For a Lévy measure $\rho \in \mathfrak{M}_L((0,\infty))$, define

$$\ell(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} a(x;s)\rho(\mathrm{d}s), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.2}$$

In [1], a distribution such that its Lévy measure is either zero or has a density $\ell$ of the form (1.2) is called a *type $A$ distribution* on $\mathbb{R}$. It is a one-dimensional symmetric distribution. Let $Z$ be a standard normal random variable and $V$ a positive infinitely divisible random variable independent of $Z$. The distribution of the one-dimensional random variable $V^{1/2}Z$ is infinitely divisible and is called of type $G$. It is also shown in [1] that an infinitely divisible distribution $\widetilde{\mu}$ on $\mathbb{R}$ is of type $G$ if and only if there exists a type $A$ distribution $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}$ with the following stochastic integral mapping representation

$$\widetilde{\mu} = \mathcal{L}\left(\int_0^{1/2}\left(\log\frac{1}{t}\right)^{1/2} dX_t^{(\mu)}\right). \tag{1.3}$$

Here and in what follows, $\mathcal{L}$ means "the law of" and $\{X_t^{(\mu)}\}$ means a Lévy process on $\mathbb{R}^d$ whose distribution at time 1 is $\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$. (In (1.3), $d = 1$.)

In this paper, we study more about type $A$ (not necessarily symmetric) distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$. The organization of this paper is the following.

Section 2 considers two arcsine transformations $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ of Lévy measures on $\mathbb{R}^d$ based on (1.1) and a reparametrization of this density of the arcsine law. It is shown that the domains of the transformations $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ are $\mathfrak{M}_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, respectively, but they are identical modulo some $(p)$-transformation. We see that both transformations are one-to-one and that they have the same range $\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{A}_k)$. It is shown that this range contains as a proper subclass the Jurek class $U(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ studied in [6], [9]. $U(\mathbb{R}^d)$ includes several known classes of multivariate distributions characterized by the radial part of their Lévy measures, such as the Goldie-Steutel-Bondesson class $B(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the class of selfdecomposable distributions $L(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and the Thorin class $T(\mathbb{R}^d)$, see [2]. Recently, other bigger classes than the Jurek class have been discussed in the study of extension of selfdecomposability, see [7] and [14].

Section 3 deals with the class $A(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of type $A$ distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ defined as those infinitely divisible distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ which Lévy measure $\nu$ belongs to $\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{A}_k)$. Some probabilistic interpretations are considered and the relation to the class $G(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of generalized type $G$ distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ introduced in [9] is studied. It is shown that $A(\mathbb{R}^d) = \Phi_{\cos}(I(\mathbb{R}^d))$, where $\Phi_{\cos}$ is the stochastic integral mapping

$$\Phi_{\cos}(\mu) = \mathcal{L}\left(\int_0^1 \cos(2^{-1}\pi t)\mathrm{d}X_t^{(\mu)}\right), \quad \mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

It is also shown that the class of Lévy measure in $G(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the image of the class of Lévy measures in $B(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mathfrak{M}_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ under $\mathcal{A}_1$. In order to prove this, and as a result of independent interest, a new arcsine representation of completely monotone functions is first obtained. In addition, the class $G(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is described as the image of $A(\mathbb{R}^d)$ under the stochastic integral mapping (1.3), $d \geq 1$, including the multivariate and non-symmetric cases. For doing this, we first have to prove that $\mathcal{A}_2$ is an Upsilon transformation in the sense of [4]. However, we see that, remarkably, $\mathcal{A}_1$ is not an Upsilon transformation and it is not commuting with a specific Upsilon transformation, which is different form other cases considered so far. Finally, Section 4 contains examples of $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ transformations of Lévy measures where the modified Bessel function $K_0$ plays an important role.

## 2. Two arcsine transformations $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$

2.1. **Definitions and domains.** Besides the arcsine density (1.1), we consider two one-sided arcsine densities with different parameters $s > 0$ and $s^2$ as follows:

$$a_1(r;s) = \begin{cases} 2\pi^{-1}(s - r^2)^{-1/2}, & 0 < r < s^{1/2}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$a_2(r;s) = \begin{cases} 2\pi^{-1}(s^2 - r^2)^{-1/2}, & 0 < r < s, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Then we consider two arcsine transformations $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ of measures on $\mathbb{R}^d$ based on these two one-sided arcsine densities.

**Definition 2.1.** Let $\nu$ be a measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying $\nu(\{0\}) = 0$. Then, for $k = 1, 2$, define the *arcsine transformation* $\mathcal{A}_k$ of $\nu$ by

$$\mathcal{A}_k(\nu)(B) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} \nu(\mathrm{d}x) \int_0^\infty a_k(r; |x|) 1_B \left( r \frac{x}{|x|} \right) \mathrm{d}r, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d). \tag{2.1}$$

The domain $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A}_k)$ is the class of measures $\nu$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\nu(\{0\}) = 0$ and the right-hand side of (2.1) is a Lévy measure in $\mathfrak{M}_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The range is

$$\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{A}_k) = \{\mathcal{A}_k(\nu) \colon \nu \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A}_k)\}.$$

We have the following result about domains of $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$.

**Theorem 2.2.** *The domains of $\mathcal{A}_k$ are as follows:*

$$\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A}_k) = \mathfrak{M}_L^k(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad k = 1, 2.$$

*Proof.* We write $c = 2\pi^{-1}$. First, let us show that $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A}_k) \subset \mathfrak{M}_L^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Suppose that $\nu \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A}_k)$. Write $\widetilde{\nu}_k = \mathcal{A}_k(\nu)$. Then

$$\widetilde{\nu}_k(B) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} \nu(\mathrm{d}x) \int_0^{|x|^{k/2}} c(|x|^k - r^2)^{-1/2} 1_B \left( r \frac{x}{|x|} \right) \mathrm{d}r. \tag{2.2}$$

Hence, for all nonnegative measurable functions $f$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} f(x) \widetilde{\nu}_k(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} \nu(\mathrm{d}x) \int_0^{|x|^{k/2}} c(|x|^k - r^2)^{-1/2} f \left( r \frac{x}{|x|} \right) \mathrm{d}r.$$

In particular,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 \wedge |x|^2) \widetilde{\nu}_k(\mathrm{d}x) = c \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nu(\mathrm{d}x) \int_0^{|x|^{k/2}} (|x|^k - r^2)^{-1/2} (1 \wedge r^2) \mathrm{d}r. \tag{2.3}$$

Since $\int (1 \wedge |x|^2) \widetilde{\nu}_k(\mathrm{d}x) < \infty$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \infty &> c \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nu(\mathrm{d}x) \int_0^1 (1 - u^2)^{-1/2} (1 \wedge (|x|^k u^2)) \mathrm{d}u \\ &\geq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 \wedge |x|^k) \nu(\mathrm{d}x) \int_0^1 (1 - u^2)^{-1/2} u^2 \mathrm{d}u. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_L^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Next let us show that $\mathfrak{M}_L^k(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A}_k)$. Suppose that $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_L^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $\widetilde{\nu}_k(B)$ denote the right-hand side of (2.1). Then $\widetilde{\nu}_k$ is a measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $\widetilde{\nu}_k(\{0\}) = 0$ and (2.2) and (2.3) hold. Hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 \wedge |x|^2) \widetilde{\nu}_k(\mathrm{d}x) = c \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nu(\mathrm{d}x) \int_0^1 (1 - u^2)^{-1/2} (1 \wedge (|x|^k u^2)) \mathrm{d}u$$

$$\leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 \wedge |x|^k)\nu(\mathrm{d}x) \int_0^1 (1-u^2)^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}u < \infty.$$

This shows that $\nu \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A}_k)$. □

In order to study the relation between $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$, we use the following transformation of measures.

**Definition 2.3.** Let $p > 0$. For any measure $\rho$ on $(0,\infty)$, define a measure $\rho^{(p)}$ on $(0,\infty)$ by

$$\rho^{(p)}(E) = \int_{(0,\infty)} 1_E(s^p)\rho(\mathrm{d}s), \qquad E \in \mathcal{B}((0,\infty)).$$

More generally, for any measure $\nu$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $\nu(\{0\}) = 0$, define a measure $\nu^{(p)}$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ by

$$\nu^{(p)}(B) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} 1_B\left(|x|^p \frac{x}{|x|}\right)\nu(\mathrm{d}x), \qquad B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

We call the mapping from $\nu$ to $\nu^{(p)}$ *$(p)$-transformation.*

The following result is the polar decomposition of a Lévy measure in $\mathfrak{M}_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$, (see [2], [10]). Here we include the case $\nu = 0$. It is a basic tool to study multivariate infinitely divisible distributions.

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then there exists a measure $\lambda$ on the unit sphere $\mathbb{S} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \colon |\xi| = 1\}$ with $0 \leq \lambda(\mathbb{S}) \leq \infty$ and a family $\{\nu_\xi \colon \xi \in \mathbb{S}\}$ of measures on $(0,\infty)$ such that $\nu_\xi(E)$ is measurable in $\xi$ for each $E \in \mathcal{B}((0,\infty))$, $0 < \nu_\xi((0,\infty)) \leq \infty$ for each $\xi \in \mathbb{S}$, and*

$$\nu(B) = \int_{\mathbb{S}} \lambda(\mathrm{d}\xi) \int_0^\infty 1_B(r\xi)\nu_\xi(\mathrm{d}r), \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

*$\nu_\xi$ is called the radial component of $\nu$. Here $\lambda$ and $\{\nu_\xi\}$ are uniquely determined by $\nu$ in the following sense: if $(\lambda, \nu_\xi)$ and $(\lambda', \nu'_\xi)$ both have the properties above, then there is a measurable function $c(\xi)$ on $\mathbb{S}$ such that*

$$0 < c(\xi) < \infty,$$
$$\lambda'(\mathrm{d}\xi) = c(\xi)\lambda(\mathrm{d}\xi),$$
$$c(\xi)\nu'_\xi(\mathrm{d}r) = \nu_\xi(\mathrm{d}r) \quad \text{for } \lambda\text{-a. e. } \xi.$$

If $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$ has a polar decomposition $(\lambda, \nu_\xi)$, then

$$\nu^{(p)}(B) = \int_{\mathbb{S}} \lambda(\mathrm{d}\xi) \int_0^\infty 1_B(r\xi)\, {\nu_\xi}^{(p)}(\mathrm{d}r), \qquad B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

If $\widetilde{\nu} = \nu^{(p)}$, then $\nu = \widetilde{\nu}^{(1/p)}$. For any nonnegative measurable function $f(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)\nu^{(p)}(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d\setminus\{0\}} f(|x|^{p-1}x)\nu(\mathrm{d}x). \tag{2.4}$$

The two arcsine transformations are identical modulo some $(p)$-transformations.

**Proposition 2.5.** *$\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if $\nu^{(2)} \in \mathfrak{M}_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and in this case*

$$\mathcal{A}_2(\nu) = \mathcal{A}_1(\nu^{(2)}).$$

*Also $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if $\nu^{(1/2)} \in \mathfrak{M}_L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and in this case*

$$\mathcal{A}_1(\nu) = \mathcal{A}_2(\nu^{(1/2)}).$$

*Proof.* Equivalence of $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\nu^{(2)} \in \mathfrak{M}_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ follows from

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 \wedge |x|)\, \nu^{(2)}(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 \wedge |x|^2)\, \nu(\mathrm{d}x)$$

obtained from (2.4). We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_1(\nu^{(2)})(B) &= \int_{\mathbb{S}} \lambda(\mathrm{d}\xi) \int_0^\infty 1_B(r\xi)\mathrm{d}r \int_{r^2}^\infty 2\pi^{-1}(s-r^2)^{-1/2}\, {\nu_\xi}^{(2)}(\mathrm{d}s) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}} \lambda(\mathrm{d}\xi) \int_0^\infty 1_B(r\xi)\mathrm{d}r \int_{r}^\infty 2\pi^{-1}(s^2-r^2)^{-1/2}\, \nu_\xi(\mathrm{d}s) \\ &= \mathcal{A}_2(\nu)(B),\end{aligned}$$

proving the first half. The proof of the second half is similar. □

2.2. **One-to-one property.** We next show that the arcsine transformations $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ are one-to-one. In contrast to usual proofs for the one-to-one property by the use of Laplace transform, our proof here has a different flavor.

Let us first prove some lemmas. A measure $\sigma$ on $(0,\infty)$ is said to be locally finite on $(0,\infty)$ if $\sigma((b,c)) < \infty$ whenever $0 < b < c < \infty$. For a measure $\rho$ on $(0,\infty)$, define

$$\mathfrak{A}(\rho)(\mathrm{d}u) = \left(\int_{(u,\infty)} \pi^{-1/2}(s-u)^{-1/2}\rho(\mathrm{d}s)\right)\mathrm{d}u,$$

if the integral in the right-hand side is the density of a locally finite measure on $(0,\infty)$. This is fractional integral of order 1/2.

**Lemma 2.6.** *If*

$$\int_{(b,\infty)} s^{-1/2}\rho(\mathrm{d}s) < \infty \quad \textit{for all } b > 0, \tag{2.5}$$

*then $\mathfrak{A}(\rho)$ is definable.*

*Proof.* Let $0 < b < c < \infty$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_b^c \mathrm{d}u \int_u^\infty (s-u)^{-1/2}\rho(\mathrm{d}s) &= \int_b^\infty \rho(\mathrm{d}s)\int_b^{c\wedge s}(s-u)^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}u \\ &= \int_b^c \rho(ds)\int_b^s (s-u)^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}u + \int_c^\infty \rho(\mathrm{d}s)\int_b^c (s-u)^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}u \\ &= 2\int_b^c (s-b)^{1/2}\rho(\mathrm{d}s) + 2\int_c^\infty ((s-b)^{1/2}-(s-c)^{1/2})\rho(\mathrm{d}s),\end{aligned}$$

which is finite, since $(s-b)^{1/2}-(s-c)^{1/2} \sim (c-b)s^{-1/2}$ as $s \to \infty$. □

**Lemma 2.7.** *Suppose that $\mathfrak{A}(\rho)$ is definable. Then, for $\alpha > -1$ and $b > 0$,*

$$\int_{(b,\infty)} u^\alpha \mathfrak{A}(\rho)(\mathrm{d}u) \le C_1 \int_{(b,\infty)} s^{\alpha+1/2}\rho(\mathrm{d}s) \tag{2.6}$$

*and*

$$\int_{(0,b]} u^\alpha \mathfrak{A}(\rho)(\mathrm{d}u) \le C_2 \left(\int_{(0,b]} s^{\alpha+1/2}\rho(\mathrm{d}s) + \int_{(b,\infty)} s^{-1/2}\rho(\mathrm{d}s)\right), \tag{2.7}$$

*where $C_1$ and $C_2$ are constants independent of $\rho$.*

*Proof.* Let $c = \pi^{-1/2}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{(b,\infty)} u^\alpha \mathfrak{A}(\rho)(\mathrm{d}u) &= c\int_b^\infty u^\alpha \mathrm{d}u \int_{(u,\infty)} (s-u)^{-1/2}\rho(\mathrm{d}s) \\ &= c\int_{(b,\infty)} \rho(\mathrm{d}s)\int_b^s u^\alpha (s-u)^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}u\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_b^s u^\alpha (s-u)^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}u &= s^{-1/2}\int_b^s u^\alpha (1-s^{-1}u)^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}u \\ &= s^{\alpha+1/2}\int_{b/s}^1 v^\alpha (1-v)^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}v \sim s^{\alpha+1/2}B(\alpha+1,1/2), \quad s\to\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Hence (2.6) holds. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{(0,b]} u^\alpha \mathfrak{A}(\rho)(\mathrm{d}u) &= c\int_0^b u^\alpha \mathrm{d}u \int_{(u,\infty)} (s-u)^{-1/2}\rho(\mathrm{d}s) \\ &= c\int_{(0,\infty)} \rho(\mathrm{d}s)\int_0^{s\wedge b} u^\alpha (s-u)^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}u \\ &= c\int_{(0,b]} \rho(\mathrm{d}s)\int_0^s u^\alpha (s-u)^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}u + c\int_{(b,\infty)} \rho(\mathrm{d}s)\int_0^b u^\alpha (s-u)^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}u.\end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\int_0^s u^\alpha (s-u)^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}u = s^{\alpha+1/2}B(\alpha+1,1/2)$$

and

$$\int_0^b u^\alpha (s-u)^{-1/2} \mathrm{d}u = s^{-1/2} \int_0^b u^\alpha (1-u/s)^{-1/2} \mathrm{d}u$$
$$\le s^{-1/2} \int_0^b u^\alpha (1-u/b)^{-1/2} \mathrm{d}u = s^{-1/2} b^{\alpha+1} B(\alpha+1, 1/2), \quad s > b.$$

Thus (2.7) holds. □

**Lemma 2.8.** *Suppose that*

$$\rho((b,\infty)) < \infty \quad \text{for all } b > 0. \tag{2.8}$$

*Then* $\mathfrak{A}(\rho)$ *and* $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{A}(\rho))$ *are definable and*

$$\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{A}(\rho))(\mathrm{d}u) = \rho((u,\infty))\, \mathrm{d}u, \tag{2.9}$$

*which implies that* $\rho$ *is determined by* $\mathfrak{A}(\rho)$ *under the condition (2.8).*

*Proof.* Since (2.8) is stronger than (2.5), $\mathfrak{A}(\rho)$ is definable. Using (2.6) of Lemma 2.7, we see from Lemma 2.6 that $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{A}(\rho))$ is definable. Next, notice that

$$\int_u^\infty \pi^{-1/2} (s-u)^{-1/2}\, \mathfrak{A}(\rho)(\mathrm{d}s)$$
$$= \pi^{-1} \int_u^\infty (s-u)^{-1/2} \mathrm{d}s \int_{(s,\infty)} (v-s)^{-1/2} \rho(\mathrm{d}v)$$
$$= \pi^{-1} \int_{(u,\infty)} \rho(\mathrm{d}v) \int_u^v (s-u)^{-1/2} (v-s)^{-1/2} \mathrm{d}s = \rho((u,\infty)),$$

because

$$\int_u^v (s-u)^{-1/2} (v-s)^{-1/2} \mathrm{d}s = \int_0^1 s^{-1/2} (1-s)^{-1/2} \mathrm{d}s = B(1/2,1/2) = \pi.$$

Hence (2.9) is true. □

For the proof of the next theorem, we introduce new functions for simplicity. For any measure $\rho$ on $(0,\infty)$ and for $k = 1, 2$, let

$$\mathsf{a}_k(\rho)(r) = \int_{(0,\infty)} a_k(r;s)\, \rho(\mathrm{d}s),$$

admitting the infinite value.

**Theorem 2.9.** *For* $k = 1, 2$, $\mathcal{A}_k$ *is one-to-one.*

*Proof.* Case $k = 1$. Suppose that $\nu, \nu' \in \mathfrak{M}_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{A}_1(\nu) = \mathcal{A}_1(\nu')$. Let $(\lambda, \nu_\xi)$ and $(\lambda', \nu'_\xi)$ be polar decompositions of $\nu$ and $\nu'$, respectively. Then

$$\mathcal{A}_1(\nu)(B) = \int_{\mathbb{S}} \lambda(\mathrm{d}\xi) \int_0^\infty 1_B(r\xi)\, \mathsf{a}_1(\nu_\xi)(r) \mathrm{d}r,$$

$$\mathcal{A}_1(\nu')(B) = \int_{\mathbb{S}} \lambda'(\mathrm{d}\xi) \int_0^\infty 1_B(r\xi)\, \mathsf{a}_1(\nu'_\xi)(r)\mathrm{d}r.$$

Hence it follows from Proposition 2.4 that there is a measurable function $c(\xi)$ satisfying $0 < c(\xi) < \infty$ such that $\lambda'(\mathrm{d}\xi) = c(\xi)\lambda(\mathrm{d}\xi)$ and $\mathsf{a}_1(\nu'_\xi)(r)\mathrm{d}r = c(\xi)^{-1}\mathsf{a}_1(\nu_\xi)(r)\mathrm{d}r$ for $\lambda$-a. e. $\xi$. Thus

$$\left(\int_{r^2}^\infty (s-r^2)^{-1/2}\nu'_\xi(\mathrm{d}s)\right)\mathrm{d}r = \left(c(\xi)^{-1}\int_{r^2}^\infty (s-r^2)^{-1/2}\nu_\xi(\mathrm{d}s)\right)\mathrm{d}r.$$

Using a new variable $u = r^2$, we see that

$$\left(\int_u^\infty (s-u)^{-1/2}\nu'_\xi(\mathrm{d}s)\right)\mathrm{d}u = \left(c(\xi)^{-1}\int_u^\infty (s-u)^{-1/2}\nu_\xi(\mathrm{d}s)\right)\mathrm{d}u.$$

Since $\nu_\xi$ and $\nu'_\xi$ satisfy (2.8), we obtain $\nu_\xi = c(\xi)^{-1}\nu'_\xi$ for $\lambda$-a. e. $\xi$ from Lemma 2.8. It follows that $\nu = \nu'$.

Case $k = 2$. Use Proposition 2.5. Then $\mathcal{A}_2(\nu)$ equals $\mathcal{A}_1(\nu^{(2)})$, which determines $\nu^{(2)}$ by Case $k = 1$, and $\nu^{(2)}$ determines $\nu = (\nu^{(2)})^{(1/2)}$. □

2.3. **Ranges.** We will show some facts concerning the ranges of $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$.

**Proposition 2.10.** *The ranges of $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ are identical:*

$$\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{A}_1) = \mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{A}_2).$$

*Proof.* This is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.5. □

Let us show some necessary conditions for $\widetilde{\nu}$ to belong to the range.

**Proposition 2.11.** *If $\widetilde{\nu}$ is in the common range of $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$, then $\widetilde{\nu}$ is in $\mathfrak{M}_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with a polar decomposition $(\lambda, \ell_\xi(r)dr)$ having the following properties: $\ell_\xi(r)$ is measurable in $(\xi, r)$ and lower semi-continuous in $r \in (0,\infty)$, and there is $b_\xi \in (0,\infty]$ such that $\ell_\xi(r) > 0$ for $r < b_\xi$ and, if $b_\xi < \infty$, then $\ell_\xi(r) = 0$ for $r \geq b_\xi$.*

*Proof.* Let $\widetilde{\nu} = \mathcal{A}_k(\nu)$ with $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_L^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $(\lambda, \nu_\xi)$ a polar decomposition of $\nu$. Then $\widetilde{\nu} \in \mathfrak{M}_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with polar decomposition $(\lambda, \mathsf{a}_k(\nu_\xi)(r)\mathrm{d}r)$ from the definition. Recall that

$$\mathsf{a}_k(\nu_\xi)(r) = 2\pi^{-1}\int_{(r^{2/k},\infty)} (s^k - r^2)^{-1/2}\nu_\xi(\mathrm{d}s).$$

Then our assertion is proved in the same way as Proposition 2.13 of [14]. □

2.4. **How big is $\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{A}_k)$?** Several well-known and well studied classes of multivariate infinitely divisible distributions are the following. The Jurek class, the class of selfdecomposable distributions, the Goldie-Steutel-Bondesson class, the Thorin class and the class of generalized type $G$ distributions. They are characterized only by the radial component of their Lévy measures with no influence of $\Sigma$ and $\gamma$ in the Lévy-Khintchine triplet. Among them, the Jurek class is the biggest. Recently, bigger than the Jurek class have been discussed in the study of extension of selfdecomposability, (see, e.g. [7] and [14]). Then a natural question is how big $\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{A}_k)$ is. Let $\mathfrak{M}_L^U(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the class of Lévy measures of distributions in the Jurek class. The radial component $\nu_\xi$ of $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_L^U(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfies that $\nu_\xi(\mathrm{d}r) = \ell_\xi(r)\mathrm{d}r, r > 0$, where $\ell_\xi(r)$ is measurable in $(\xi, r)$ and decreasing and right-continuous in $r > 0$. We will show below that $\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{A}_k)$ is at least strictly bigger than $\mathfrak{M}_L^U(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

**Theorem 2.12.** *We have*

$$\mathfrak{M}_L^U(\mathbb{R}^d) \subsetneqq \mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{A}_1) = \mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{A}_2).$$

*Proof.* Let $\widetilde{\nu} \in \mathfrak{M}_L^U(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Equivalently, let $\widetilde{\nu} \in \mathfrak{M}_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with a polar decomposition $(\lambda, \ell_\xi(r)\mathrm{d}r)$ such that $\ell_\xi(r)$ is measurable in $(\xi, r)$ and decreasing and right-continuous in $r > 0$. Further, we may and do assume that $\lambda$ is a probability measure and

$$\int_0^\infty (1 \wedge r^2)\ell_\xi(r)\mathrm{d}r = c := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 \wedge |x|^2)\widetilde{\nu}(\mathrm{d}x).$$

Let $\rho_\xi$ be a measure on $(0, \infty)$ such that $\rho_\xi((r^2, \infty)) = \ell_\xi(r)$ for $r > 0$ and let $\eta_\xi = \mathfrak{A}(\rho_\xi)$. Lemma 2.8 says that $\eta_\xi$ is definable and

$$\rho_\xi((u, \infty)) = \int_{(u,\infty)} \pi^{-1/2}(s - u)^{-1/2}\eta_\xi(\mathrm{d}s) \quad \text{for Lebesgue a. e. } u > 0.$$

Note that $\eta_\xi(E)$ is measurable in $\xi$ for each $E \in \mathcal{B}((0, \infty))$. We have, for $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\nu}(B) &= \int_{\mathbb{S}} \lambda(\mathrm{d}\xi) \int_0^\infty 1_B(r\xi)\rho_\xi((r^2, \infty))\mathrm{d}r \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{S}} \lambda(\mathrm{d}\xi) \int_0^\infty 1_B(r\xi)\mathrm{d}r \int_{(r^2,\infty)} \pi^{-1/2}(s - r^2)^{-1/2}\eta_\xi(\mathrm{d}s) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{S}} \lambda(\mathrm{d}\xi) \int_0^\infty 1_B(r\xi)(\pi^{1/2}/2)\mathsf{a}_1(\eta_\xi)(r)\mathrm{d}r.
\end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}} \lambda(\mathrm{d}\xi) \int_0^\infty (1 \wedge u)\eta_\xi(\mathrm{d}u) < \infty. \tag{2.10}$$

This will ensure that $(\lambda, (\pi^{1/2}/2)\eta_\xi(\mathrm{d}r))$ is a polar decomposition of some $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and that $\widetilde{\nu} = \mathcal{A}_1(\nu)$. First, notice that

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \int_0^\infty (1 \wedge r^2)\rho_\xi((r^2,\infty))\mathrm{d}r = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\infty (1 \wedge u)\rho_\xi((u,\infty))u^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}u \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 u^{1/2}\rho_\xi((u,\infty))\mathrm{d}u + \frac{1}{2}\int_1^\infty u^{-1/2}\rho_\xi((u,\infty))\mathrm{d}u \\ &\geq \frac{1}{3}\rho_\xi((1,\infty)) + \frac{1}{2}\int_1^\infty u^{-1/2}\rho_\xi((u,\infty))\mathrm{d}u. \end{aligned}$$

Then, use (2.6) of Lemma 2.7 with $\alpha = 0$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(1,\infty)} \eta_\xi(\mathrm{d}u) &= \int_{(1,\infty)} \mathfrak{A}(\rho_\xi)(\mathrm{d}u) \leq C_1 \int_{(1,\infty)} s^{1/2}\rho_\xi(\mathrm{d}s) \\ &= C_1\rho_\xi((1,\infty)) + \frac{C_1}{2}\int_1^\infty s^{-1/2}\rho_\xi((s,\infty))\mathrm{d}s \leq 3cC_1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, using (2.7) of Lemma 2.7 with $\alpha = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(0,1]} u\,\eta_\xi(\mathrm{d}u) &= \int_{(0,1]} u\,\mathfrak{A}(\rho_\xi)(\mathrm{d}u) \\ &\leq C_2\left(\int_{(0,1]} s^{3/2}\rho_\xi(\mathrm{d}s) + \int_{(1,\infty)} s^{-1/2}\rho_\xi(\mathrm{d}s)\right) \\ &\leq C_2\left(\frac{3}{2}\int_0^1 s^{1/2}\rho_\xi((s,1])\mathrm{d}s + \int_{(1,\infty)} s^{1/2}\rho_\xi(\mathrm{d}s)\right) \leq 6cC_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (2.10) is true. It follows that $\mathfrak{M}_L^U(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{A}_1)$.

To see the inclusion is strict, let $\delta_1$ be Dirac measure at 1 and $\lambda$ a probability measure on $\mathbb{S}$. Consider $\eta \in \mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{A}_1)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(B) &= \int_{\mathbb{S}} \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty 1_B(r\xi)\mathsf{a}_1(\delta_1)(r)\mathrm{d}r \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}} \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^1 1_B(r\xi)2\pi^{-1}(1-r^2)^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}r. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\eta \notin \mathfrak{M}_L^U(\mathbb{R}^d)$, since the radial component has density strictly increasing on $(0,1)$. □

2.5. **$\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ as (modified) Upsilon transformations.** Barndorff-Nielsen, Rosiński and Thorbjørnsen [4] considered general Upsilon transformations, (see also [3] and [13]). Given a measure $\tau$ on $(0,\infty)$, a transformation $\Upsilon_\tau$ from measures on $\mathbb{R}^d$ into $\mathfrak{M}_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is called an Upsilon transformation associated to $\tau$ (or with dilation measure

$\tau$) when

$$\Upsilon_\tau(\nu)(B) = \int_0^\infty \nu(u^{-1}B)\tau(\mathrm{d}u), \qquad B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d). \tag{2.11}$$

The domain of $\Upsilon_\tau$ is the class of $\sigma$-finite measures $\nu$ such that the right-hand side of (2.11) is a measure in $\mathfrak{M}_L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

We now see that $\mathcal{A}_2$ is an Upsilon transformation and that $\mathcal{A}_1$ is an Upsilon transformation combined with (1/2)-transformation.

**Theorem 2.13.** *Let $k = 1, 2$. Then for $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_L^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$*

$$\mathcal{A}_k(\nu)(B) = \int_0^1 \nu^{(k/2)}(u^{-1}B)2\pi^{-1}(1-u^2)^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}u, \qquad B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d). \tag{2.12}$$

*Proof.* Let $(\lambda, \nu_\xi)$ be a polar decomposition of $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_L^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then with $c = 2\pi^{-1}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_k(\nu)(B) &= c\int_{\mathbb{S}}\lambda(\mathrm{d}\xi)\int_0^\infty 1_B(r\xi)\mathrm{d}r\int_{(r^{2/k},\infty)}(s^k - r^2)^{-1/2}\nu_\xi(\mathrm{d}s) \\
&= c\int_{\mathbb{S}}\lambda(\mathrm{d}\xi)\int_0^\infty \nu_\xi(\mathrm{d}s)\int_0^{s^{k/2}} 1_B(r\xi)(s^k - r^2)^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}r \\
&= c\int_{\mathbb{S}}\lambda(\mathrm{d}\xi)\int_0^\infty \nu_\xi(\mathrm{d}s)\int_0^1 1_B(us^{k/2}\xi)(1-u^2)^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}u \\
&= c\int_0^1 (1-u^2)^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}u\int_{\mathbb{S}}\lambda(\mathrm{d}\xi)\int_0^\infty 1_B(us^{k/2}\xi)\nu_\xi(\mathrm{d}s) \\
&= c\int_0^1 (1-u^2)^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}u\int_{\mathbb{S}}\lambda(\mathrm{d}\xi)\int_0^\infty 1_B(us\xi){\nu_\xi}^{(k/2)}(\mathrm{d}s) \\
&= c\int_0^1 (1-u^2)^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}u\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} 1_B(ux)\nu^{(k/2)}(\mathrm{d}x),
\end{aligned}$$

which shows (2.12). ☐

**Corollary 2.14.** *The transformation $\mathcal{A}_2$ is an Upsilon transformation with dilation measure $\tau(\mathrm{d}u) = a_1(u;1)\mathrm{d}u$. In other words, the expression $\widetilde{\nu} = \mathcal{A}_2(\nu)$ for $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is written as $\widetilde{\nu}(B) = \mathrm{E}\left[\nu(A^{-1}B)\right]$, $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where $A$ is a random variable with arcsine density $a_1(u;1)$.*

**Remark 2.15.** The mapping $\mathcal{A}_1$ is not an Upsilon transformation for any dilation measure $\tau$. This remarkable result will be proved in Section 3.6, as a byproduct of Theorem 3.7 shown in Section 3.5.

## 3. TYPE $A$ DISTRIBUTIONS ON $\mathbb{R}^d$

### 3.1. **Definition and stochastic integral representation via arcsine transformations.**

**Definition 3.1.** A probability distribution in $I(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is said to be a *type A distribution* on $\mathbb{R}^d$ if its Lévy measure $\nu$ belongs to $\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{A}_1) = \mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{A}_2)$. There is no restriction on $\Sigma$ and $\gamma$ in its Lévy-Khintchine triplet. We denote by $A(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the class of all type $A$ distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$.

In the following, we study a probabilistic interpretation of type $A$ distributions, since they have been defined by an analytic way in terms of their Lévy measures above. One probabilistic interpretation is a representation by stochastic integral with respect to Lévy processes. The problem is what the integrand is. We start with this section to answer this question.

Let $T \in (0, \infty)$ and let $f(t)$ be a square integrable function on $[0, T]$. Then the stochastic integral $\int_0^T f(t)\mathrm{d}X_t^{(\mu)}$ is defined for any $\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and is infinitely divisible. Define the stochastic integral mapping $\Phi_f$ based on $f$ as

$$\Phi_f(\mu) = \mathcal{L}\left(\int_0^T f(t)\mathrm{d}X_t^{(\mu)}\right), \quad \mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

If $\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$ has the Lévy-Khintchine triplet $(\Sigma, \nu, \gamma)$, then $\widetilde{\mu} = \Phi_f(\mu)$ has the Lévy-Khintchine triplet $(\widetilde{\Sigma}, \widetilde{\nu}, \widetilde{\gamma})$ expressed as

$$\widetilde{\Sigma} = \int_0^T f(t)^2\, \Sigma\, \mathrm{d}t, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\widetilde{\nu}(B) = \int_0^T \mathrm{d}t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} 1_B(f(t)x)\, \nu(\mathrm{d}x), \qquad B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d), \tag{3.2}$$

$$\widetilde{\gamma} = \int_0^T f(t)\mathrm{d}s \left(\gamma + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \left(\frac{1}{1 + |f(t)x|^2} - \frac{1}{1 + |x|^2}\right) \nu(\mathrm{d}x)\right). \tag{3.3}$$

(See Proposition 2.17 and Corollary 2.19 of [11] and Proposition 2.6 of [12].)

Let us characterize the class $A(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as the range of a stochastic integral mapping.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let*

$$\Phi_{\cos}(\mu) = \mathcal{L}\left(\int_0^1 \cos(2^{-1}\pi t)\mathrm{d}X_t^{(\mu)}\right), \quad \mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d). \tag{3.4}$$

*Then* $\Phi_{\cos}$ *is a one-to-one mapping and*

$$A(\mathbb{R}^d) = \Phi_{\cos}(I(\mathbb{R}^d)). \tag{3.5}$$

*Proof.* First let us show that $A(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \Phi_{\cos}(I(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Let $\widetilde{\mu} \in A(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with the Lévy-Khintchine triplet $(\widetilde{\Sigma}, \widetilde{\nu}, \widetilde{\gamma})$. Then $\widetilde{\nu} \in \mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{A}_2)$ and hence, by Corollary 2.14,

$$\widetilde{\nu}(B) = \int_0^1 \nu(u^{-1}B) 2\pi^{-1}(1-u^2)^{-1/2} \mathrm{d}u, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

with some $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $s = g(u) = \int_u^1 2\pi^{-1}(1-v^2)^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}v = 2\pi^{-1}\arccos(u)$ for $0 < u < 1$. Then $u = \cos(2^{-1}\pi t)$ for $0 < t < 1$. Thus

$$\widetilde{\nu}(B) = -\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}g(u) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} 1_B(ux)\nu(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} 1_B(x\cos(2^{-1}\pi t))\nu(\mathrm{d}x).$$

That is, (3.2) is satisfied with $T = 1$ and $f(t) = \cos(2^{-1}\pi t)$. Using $\nu$, we can find $\Sigma$ and $\gamma$ satisfying (3.1) and (3.3). Let $\mu$ be the distribution in $I(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with the Lévy-Khintchine triplet $(\Sigma, \nu, \gamma)$. Then $\widetilde{\mu} = \Phi_{\cos}(\mu)$. Hence $A(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \Phi_{\cos}(I(\mathbb{R}^d))$.

Conversely, suppose that $\widetilde{\mu} \in \Phi_{\cos}(I(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Then $\widetilde{\mu} = \Phi_{\cos}(\mu)$ for some $\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The Lévy-Khintchine triplets $(\widetilde{\Sigma}, \widetilde{\nu}, \widetilde{\gamma})$ and $(\Sigma, \nu, \gamma)$ of $\widetilde{\mu}$ and $\mu$ are related by (3.1)—(3.3) with $T = 1$ and $f(s) = \cos(2^{-1}\pi s)$. Then a similar calculus shows that (3.1) holds. Hence $\widetilde{\nu} \in \mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{A}_2)$ and $\widetilde{\mu} \in A(\mathbb{R}^d)$, showing that $\Phi_{\cos}(I(\mathbb{R}^d)) \subset A(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

The mapping $\Phi_{\cos}$ is one-to-one, since $\nu$ is determined by $\widetilde{\nu}$ (Theorem 2.9 with $k = 2$) and $\Sigma$ and $\gamma$ are determined by $\widetilde{\Sigma}$, $\widetilde{\gamma}$, and $\nu$. ☐

3.2. **$\Upsilon^0$-transformation.** For later use, we introduce a transformation $\Upsilon^0$. Define

$$\Upsilon^0(\nu)(B) = \int_0^\infty \nu(u^{-1}B)\mathrm{e}^{-u}\mathrm{d}u, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Let $\mathfrak{M}_L^B(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the class of Lévy measures of the Goldie-Steutel-Bondesson class $B(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In [2], it is shown that $\Upsilon^0(\mathfrak{M}_L(\mathbb{R}^d)) = \mathfrak{M}_L^B(\mathbb{R}^d)$. This is the transformation of Lévy measures associated with the stochastic integral mapping $\Upsilon$ from $I(\mathbb{R}^d)$ into $I(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and it is known that $\Upsilon(I(\mathbb{R}^d)) = B(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (see [2]). Both $\Upsilon^0$ and $\Upsilon$ are one-to-one. For $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with a polar decomposition $(\lambda, \nu_\xi)$, we have the expression

$$\Upsilon^0(\nu)(B) = \int_{\mathbb{S}} \lambda(\mathrm{d}\xi) \int_0^\infty 1_B(r\xi)\Upsilon^0(\nu_\xi)(\mathrm{d}r), \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d), \tag{3.6}$$

where $\Upsilon^0$ in the right-hand side acts on $\mathfrak{M}_L^2((0,\infty))$.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then $\Upsilon^0(\nu) \in \mathfrak{M}_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

*Proof.* Notice that

$$\int_{|x|\le 1} |x|\Upsilon^0(\nu)(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-u}\mathrm{d}u \int_{|ux|\le 1} |ux|\nu(\mathrm{d}x)$$

$$= \int_0^\infty u e^{-u} \mathrm{d}u \int_{|x|\le 1/u} |x|\nu(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|\nu(\mathrm{d}x) \int_0^{1/|x|} u e^{-u} \mathrm{d}u$$

$$\begin{cases} \le \int_{|x|\le 1} |x|\nu(\mathrm{d}x) \int_0^\infty u e^{-u}\mathrm{d}u + \int_{|x|>1} 2^{-1}|x|^{-1}\nu(\mathrm{d}x), \\ \ge \int_{|x|\le 1} |x|\nu(\mathrm{d}x) \int_0^1 u e^{-u}\mathrm{d}u, \end{cases}$$

to see the equivalence. □

3.3. **A representation of completely monotone functions.** In [9], the class of generalized type $G$ distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$, denoted by $G(\mathbb{R}^d)$, is defined as follows. $\mu \in G(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if the radial component $\nu_\xi$ of the Lévy measure of $\mu$ satisfies $\nu_\xi(\mathrm{d}r) = g_\xi(r^2)\mathrm{d}r$, where $g_\xi(u)$ is a completely monotone function on $(0, \infty)$. $\mathfrak{M}_L^G(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes the class of all Lévy measures of $\mu \in G(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We use the following result when dealing with $G(\mathbb{R}^d)$. It is a result on the arcsine transformation representation of a function $g(r^2)$ when $g$ is completely monotone on $(0, \infty)$.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let $g(u)$ be a real-valued measurable function on $(0, \infty)$. Then the following three conditions are equivalent.*

*(a) The function $g(u)$ is completely monotone on $(0, \infty)$ and satisfies*

$$\int_0^\infty (1 \wedge r^2) g(r^2)\mathrm{d}r < \infty. \tag{3.7}$$

*(b) There exists a completely monotone function $h(s)$ on $(0, \infty)$ satisfying*

$$\int_0^\infty (1 \wedge s) h(s)\mathrm{d}s < \infty \tag{3.8}$$

*such that*

$$g(r^2) = \int_0^\infty a_1(r; s) h(s)\mathrm{d}s, \quad r > 0.$$

*(c) There exists a measure $\rho$ on $(0, \infty)$ satisfying*

$$\int_0^\infty (1 \wedge s)\rho(\mathrm{d}s) < \infty$$

*such that*

$$g(r^2) = \mathsf{a}_1(\Upsilon^0(\rho))(r), \quad r > 0. \tag{3.9}$$

*Proof.* (a) ⇒ (b): From Bernstein's theorem, there exists a measure $Q$ on $[0, \infty)$ such that

$$g(u) = \int_{[0,\infty)} e^{-uv} Q(\mathrm{d}v), \quad u > 0. \tag{3.10}$$

It follows from (3.7) that $Q(\{0\}) = 0$, since $Q(\{0\}) = \lim_{u\to\infty} g(u)$. We need the fact that the one-dimensional Gaussian density $\varphi(x;t)$ of mean 0 and variance $t$ is the arcsine transform of the exponential distribution with mean $t > 0$. More precisely,

$$\varphi(x;t) = (2\pi t)^{-1/2}\mathrm{e}^{-x^2/(2t)} = t^{-1}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-s/t}a(x;s)\mathrm{d}s,\ t > 0,\ x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.11}$$

This is the well-known Box-Muller method to generate normal random variables. Using (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(r^2) &= \int_{(0,\infty)} \mathrm{e}^{-r^2v}Q(\mathrm{d}v) \\ &= \int_{(0,\infty)} v^{1/2}Q(\mathrm{d}v)\int_{r^2/2}^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-2sv}2\pi^{-1/2}(2s-r^2)^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}s \\ &= \int_{(0,\infty)} v^{1/2}Q(\mathrm{d}v)\int_{r^2}^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-sv}\pi^{-1/2}(s-r^2)^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}s. \\ &= \int_{r^2}^\infty \pi^{-1/2}(s-r^2)^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}s\int_{(0,\infty)} \mathrm{e}^{-sv}v^{1/2}Q(\mathrm{d}v) \\ &= \int_0^\infty a_1(r;s)h(s)\mathrm{d}s, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$h(s) = 2^{-1}\pi^{1/2}\int_{(0,\infty)} \mathrm{e}^{-sv}v^{1/2}Q(\mathrm{d}v). \tag{3.12}$$

Applying Theorem 2.2 for $d = 1$, we see (3.8) from (3.7).

(b) ⇒ (c): Since $h(s)$ is completely monotone satisfying (3.8), there is $\rho \in \mathfrak{M}^2_L(\mathbb{R})$ such that $h(s)\mathrm{d}s = \Upsilon^0(\rho)$ (see Theorem A of [2]). Since $\Upsilon^0(\rho)$ is concentrated on $(0,\infty)$, $\rho$ is concentrated on $(0,\infty)$. Using Proposition 3.3, we see that $\int_{(0,1]} s\,\rho(\mathrm{d}s) < \infty$.

(c) ⇒ (a): It follows from Proposition 3.3 that $\int_{(0,1]} s\,\Upsilon^0(\rho)(\mathrm{d}s) < \infty$. Hence it follows from (3.9) that $g(r^2)$ satisfies (3.7) (use Theorem 2.2 for $d = 1$). Finally let us prove that $g(u)$ is completely monotone. There is a completely monotone function $h(s)$ such that $\Upsilon^0(\rho)(\mathrm{d}s) = h(s)\mathrm{d}s$ (see Theorem A of [2] again). Hence from Bernstein's theorem we can find a measure $R$ on $[0,\infty)$ such that

$$h(s) = \int_{[0,\infty)} \mathrm{e}^{-sv}R(\mathrm{d}v), \quad s > 0.$$

We have $R(\{0\}) = 0$ since $\int_1^\infty h(s)\mathrm{d}s < \infty$. Thus

$$g(r^2) = \int_0^\infty a_1(r;s)h(s)\mathrm{d}s = \int_{r^2}^\infty 2\pi^{-1}(s-r^2)^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}s\int_{(0,\infty)} \mathrm{e}^{-sv}R(\mathrm{d}v)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{(0,\infty)} R(\mathrm{d}v) \int_{r^2}^{\infty} 2\pi^{-1}(s-r^2)^{-1/2}\mathrm{e}^{-sv}\mathrm{d}s \\
&= \int_{(0,\infty)} \mathrm{e}^{-r^2 v} 2\pi^{-1/2} v^{-1/2} R(\mathrm{d}v),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality is from the same calculus as in the proof that (a) ⇒ (b). Now we see that $g(u)$ is completely monotone. □

3.4. **A representation of $G(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in terms of $\mathcal{A}_1$.** We now give an alternative representation for Lévy measures of distributions in $G(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let $\widetilde{\mu}$ be an infinitely divisible distribution on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with the Lévy-Khintchine triplet $(\widetilde{\Sigma}, \widetilde{\nu}, \widetilde{\gamma})$. Then the following three conditions are equivalent.*
*(a)* $\widetilde{\mu} \in G(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
*(b)* $\widetilde{\nu} = \mathcal{A}_1(\nu)$ *with some* $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_L^B(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mathfrak{M}_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
*(c)* $\widetilde{\nu} = \mathcal{A}_1(\Upsilon^0(\rho))$ *with some* $\rho \in \mathfrak{M}_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
*In condition (b) or (c), the representation of $\widetilde{\nu}$ by $\nu$ or $\rho$ is unique.*

*Proof.* (a) ⇒ (b): By definition of $G(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the Lévy measure $\widetilde{\nu}$ of $\widetilde{\mu}$ has polar decomposition $(\lambda, g_\xi(r^2)\mathrm{d}r)$ where $g_\xi(u)$ is measurable in $(\xi, u)$ and completely monotone in $u > 0$. Hence, by Proposition 3.4, for each $\xi$ we can find a completely monotone function $\ell_\xi(s)$ such that $\int_0^\infty (1 \wedge s)\ell_\xi(s)\mathrm{d}s < \infty$ and

$$
g_\xi(r^2) = \int_0^\infty a_1(r; s)\ell_\xi(s)\mathrm{d}s, \quad r > 0.
$$

The measure $Q_\xi$ in the representation (3.10) of $g_\xi(u)$ has the property that $Q_\xi(E)$ is measurable in $\xi$ for every Borel set $E$ in $[0,\infty)$ (see Remark 3.2 of [2]). Hence, for any nonnegative function $f(s,v)$ measurable in $(s,v)$, $\int_{[0,\infty)} f(s,v)Q_\xi(\mathrm{d}v)$ is measurable in $(\xi, s)$. Hence the function $h_\xi(s)$ defined as in (3.12) is measurable in $(\xi, s)$. Thus we have

$$
\widetilde{\nu}(B) = \int_{\mathbb{S}} \lambda(\mathrm{d}\xi) \int_0^\infty 1_B(r\xi)\mathrm{d}r \int_0^\infty a_1(r; s)h_\xi(s)\mathrm{d}s.
$$

Now, an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2 shows that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{S}} \lambda(\mathrm{d}\xi) \int_0^\infty (1 \wedge s)h_\xi(s)\mathrm{d}s < \infty.
$$

Thus, letting $\nu$ denote the Lévy measure with polar decomposition $(\lambda,\ h_\xi(s)\mathrm{d}s)$, we see that $\widetilde{\nu} = \mathcal{A}_1(\nu)$ and $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_L^B(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mathfrak{M}_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c): It follows from $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_L^B(\mathbb{R}^d)$ that $\nu = \Upsilon^0(\rho)$ for some unique $\rho \in \mathfrak{M}_L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (Theorem A of [2]). Since $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have $\rho \in \mathfrak{M}_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ from Proposition 3.3.

(c) $\Rightarrow$ (a): It follows from $\rho \in \mathfrak{M}_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ that $\Upsilon^0(\rho) \in \mathfrak{M}_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (Proposition 3.3). Let $(\lambda, \nu_\xi)$ be polar decomposition of $\nu = \Upsilon^0(\rho)$. Then $\nu_\xi(\mathrm{d}s) = \ell_\xi(s)\mathrm{d}s$ where $\ell_\xi(s)$ is measurable in $(\xi, s)$ and completely monotone in $s > 0$. Define $g_\xi(u)$ by

$$g_\xi(r^2) = \int_0^\infty a_1(r;s)\ell_\xi(s)\mathrm{d}s.$$

Then $g_\xi(u)$ is measurable in $(\xi, u)$. It follows from Proposition 3.4 that $g_\xi(u)$ is completely monotone in $u > 0$. Hence $\widetilde{\nu} \in \mathfrak{M}_L^G(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\widetilde{\mu} \in G(\mathbb{R}^d)$. ☐

3.5. $G(\mathbb{R}^d)$ **as image of** $A(\mathbb{R}^d)$ **under a stochastic integral mapping.** Following [8], we define the transformation $\Upsilon_{\alpha,\beta}(\nu)$ for $\alpha < 2$ and $0 < \beta \le 2$. For a measure $\nu$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $\nu(\{0\}) = 0$ define

$$\Upsilon_{\alpha,\beta}(\nu)(B) = \int_0^\infty \nu(s^{-1}B)\beta s^{-\alpha-1}\mathrm{e}^{-s^\beta}\mathrm{d}s, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

whenever the right-hand side gives a measure in $\mathfrak{M}_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$. This definition is different from that of [8] in the constant factor $\beta$. A special case with $\beta = 1$ coincides with the transformation of Lévy measures in the stochastic integral mapping $\Psi_\alpha$ studied by Sato [12]. Of particular interest in this work is the mapping $\Upsilon_{-2,2}$. Notice that $\Upsilon_{-1,1} = \Upsilon^0$.

**Proposition 3.6.** *$\Upsilon_{-2,2}(\nu)$ is definable if and only if $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The mapping $\Upsilon_{-2,2}$ is one-to-one.*

*Proof.* Let $\widetilde{\nu}(B) = \int_0^\infty \nu(s^{-1}B)2s\mathrm{e}^{-s^2}\mathrm{d}s$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)\widetilde{\nu}(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_0^\infty 2s\mathrm{e}^{-s^2}\mathrm{d}s \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(sx)\nu(\mathrm{d}x)$$

for all nonnegative measurable functions $f$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(1\wedge|x|^2)\widetilde{\nu}(\mathrm{d}x) &= \int_0^\infty 2s\mathrm{e}^{-s^2}\mathrm{d}s\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(1\wedge|sx|^2)\nu(\mathrm{d}x)\\ &= \int_0^\infty 2s\mathrm{e}^{-s^2}\mathrm{d}s\left(\int_{|x|\le 1/s}|sx|^2\nu(\mathrm{d}x) + \int_{|x|>1/s}\nu(\mathrm{d}x)\right)\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|x|^2\nu(\mathrm{d}x)\int_0^{1/|x|}2s^3\mathrm{e}^{-s^2}\mathrm{d}s + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\nu(\mathrm{d}x)\int_{1/|x|}^\infty 2s\mathrm{e}^{-s^2}\mathrm{d}s.\end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\int_0^{1/|x|} 2s^3\mathrm{e}^{-s^2}\mathrm{d}s$ is convergent as $|x|\downarrow 0$ and $\sim 2^{-1}|x|^{-4}$ as $|x|\to\infty$ and $\int_{1/|x|}^{\infty} 2s\mathrm{e}^{-s^2}\mathrm{d}s$ is $\sim \mathrm{e}^{-1/|x|^2}$ as $|x|\downarrow 0$ and convergent as $|x|\to\infty$. Then we see that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(1\wedge|x|^2)\widetilde{\nu}(\mathrm{d}x)$ is finite if and only if $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(1\wedge|x|^2)\nu(\mathrm{d}x)$ is finite. To prove that $\Upsilon_{-2,2}$ is one-to-one, make a similar argument to the proof of Proposition 4.1 of [12]. $\square$

The following result is needed in showing the characterization of $G(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in terms of type $A$ distributions. However, it also shows that $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\Upsilon^0$ are not commutative, while $\mathcal{A}_2$ and $\Upsilon^0$ are commutative, both being Upsilon transformations with domain equal to $\mathfrak{M}_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

**Theorem 3.7.** *It holds that*

$$\Upsilon_{-2,2}(\mathcal{A}_1(\rho)) = \mathcal{A}_1(\Upsilon^0(\rho)) \quad \textit{for } \rho\in\mathfrak{M}_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

*Proof.* Suppose that $\rho\in\mathfrak{M}_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with polar decomposition $(\lambda,\rho_\xi)$. Let $\nu=\mathcal{A}_1(\rho)$ and $\widetilde{\nu}=\Upsilon_{-2,2}(\nu)$. Then $\nu$ has polar decomposition $(\lambda,\nu_\xi)$ with $\nu_\xi(\mathrm{d}s)=\mathsf{a}_1(\rho_\xi)(s)\mathrm{d}s$. From Theorem 2.6 (ii) in [8], $\widetilde{\nu}$ has polar decomposition $(\lambda,\widetilde{\nu}_\xi)$ given by

$$\widetilde{\nu}_\xi(\mathrm{d}r) = rg_\xi(r^2)dr \tag{3.13}$$

with

$$g_\xi(r^2) = 2\int_0^\infty s^{-2}\mathrm{e}^{-r^2/s^2}\nu_\xi(\mathrm{d}s). \tag{3.14}$$

Using (3.13) and (3.14) we have

$$\begin{aligned} rg_\xi(r^2) &= 2r\int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-r^2/s^2}s^{-2}\mathsf{a}_1(\rho_\xi)(s)\mathrm{d}s \\ &= \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-t}t^{-1/2}\mathsf{a}_1(\rho_\xi)(t^{-1/2}r)\mathrm{d}t \\ &= \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-t}t^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}t\int_0^\infty a_1(t^{-1/2}r;s)\rho_\xi(\mathrm{d}s) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-t}\mathrm{d}t\int_0^\infty a_1(r;ts)\rho_\xi(\mathrm{d}s) \\ &= \int_0^\infty a_1(r;u)\Upsilon^0(\rho_\xi)(\mathrm{d}u), \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\int_0^\infty f(u)\Upsilon^0(\rho_\xi)(\mathrm{d}u) = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-t}\mathrm{d}t\int_0^\infty f(ts)\rho_\xi(\mathrm{d}s)$$

for every nonnegative measurable function $f$. It follows that

$$\widetilde{\nu}(B) = \int_{\mathbb{S}}\lambda(\mathrm{d}\xi)\int_0^\infty 1_B(r\xi)\mathsf{a}_1(\Upsilon^0(\rho_\xi))(\mathrm{d}r), \quad B\in\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Using (3.6), we see that $\widetilde{\nu} = \mathcal{A}_1(\Upsilon^0(\rho))$. $\square$

The following result shows that $G(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the class of distributions of stochastic integrals with respect Lévy processes with type $A$ distribution at time 1. This is a multivariate and not necessarily symmetric generalization of (1.3).

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let*

$$\Psi_{-2,2}(\mu) = \mathcal{L}\left(\int_0^1 \left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right)^{1/2} \mathrm{d}X_t^{(\mu)}\right), \quad \mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

*Then* $\Psi_{-2,2}$ *is one-to-one and*

$$G(\mathbb{R}^d) = \Psi_{-2,2}(A(\mathbb{R}^d)) = \Psi_{-2,2}(\Phi_{\cos}(I(\mathbb{R}^d))), \tag{3.15}$$

*where* $\Phi_{\cos}$ *is defined by* (3.4). *In other words, for any* $\widetilde{\mu} \in G(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *there exists a Lévy process* $\left\{X_t^{(\mu)} : t \geq 0\right\}$ *with type $A$ distribution* $\mu$ *at time* 1 *such that*

$$\widetilde{\mu} = \mathcal{L}\left(\int_0^1 \left(\log t^{-1}\right)^{1/2} \mathrm{d}X_t^{(\mu)}\right). \tag{3.16}$$

*Proof.* Let $g(t) = \int_t^\infty 2u\mathrm{e}^{-u^2}\mathrm{d}u = \mathrm{e}^{-t^2}$. Then the inverse function of $g$ is $f(t) = \left(\log t^{-1}\right)^{1/2}$ which is square-integrable on $(0,1)$. Thus, $\Psi_{-2,2}(\mu)$ is definable for all $\mu$. Suppose that $\widetilde{\mu} \in G(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with triplet $(\widetilde{\Sigma}, \widetilde{\nu}, \widetilde{\gamma})$. Then it follows from Theorems 3.5 and 3.7 that

$$\widetilde{\nu} = \mathcal{A}_1(\Upsilon^0(\rho)) = \Upsilon_{-2.2}(\mathcal{A}_1(\rho))$$

for some $\rho \in \mathfrak{M}_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $\nu = \mathcal{A}_1(\rho)$. Since $\widetilde{\nu} = \Upsilon_{-2,2}(\nu)$, we have (3.2) for the function $f(s) = \left(\log s^{-1}\right)^{1/2}$ and $T = 1$. Choose $\Sigma$ and $\gamma$ satisfying (3.1) and (3.3). Let $\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$ having triplet $(\Sigma, \nu, \gamma)$. Then $\mu \in A(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\widetilde{\mu} = \Psi_{-2,2}(\mu)$. Conversely, we can see that if $\mu \in A(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $\Psi_{-2,2}(\mu) \in G(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Thus the first equality in (3.15) is proved. The second equality follows from (3.5) of Theorem 3.2. The one-to-one property of $\Psi_{-2,2}$ follows from that of $\Upsilon_{-2,2}$ in Proposition 3.6. $\square$

**Remark 3.9.** (a) The two representations of $\widetilde{\mu} \in G(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in Theorems 3.5 and 3.8 are related in the following way. Theorem 3.8 shows that $\widetilde{\mu} \in G(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if $\widetilde{\mu} = \Upsilon_{-2.2}(\Phi_{\cos}(\mu))$ for some $\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$. This $\mu$ has Lévy measure $\rho^{(1/2)}$ if $\rho$ is the Lévy measure in the representation of $\widetilde{\mu}$ in Theorem 3.5 (c). For the proof, use Proposition 2.5, Theorems 3.2 and 3.7.

(b) We have another representation of the class $G(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We introduce the mapping $\mathcal{G}$ as follows. Let $h(t) = \int_t^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-u^2}\mathrm{d}u, t > 0$, and denote its inverse function by $h^*(s)$.

For $\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we define

$$\mathcal{G}(\mu) = \mathcal{L}\left(\int_0^{\sqrt{\pi}/2} h^*(s)\mathrm{d}X_s^{(\mu)}\right).$$

It is known that $G(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{G}\left(I(\mathbb{R}^d)\right)$, see Theorem 2.4 (5) in [9]. This suggests us that $\mathcal{G}$ is decomposed into

$$\mathcal{G} = \Psi_{-2.2} \circ \Phi_{\cos} = \Phi_{\cos} \circ \Psi_{-2.2} \tag{3.17}$$

with the same domain $I(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where $\circ$ means composition of mappings. This is verified as follows. By Corollary 2.14, $\mathcal{A}_2$ is an Upsilon transformation and $\mathcal{A}_2$ corresponds to $\Phi_{\cos}$ (see (3.5)). Also, $\Upsilon_{-2.2}$ corresponds to the Upsilon transformation with the dilation measure $\tau(\mathrm{d}x) = x^2\mathrm{e}^{-x^2}\mathrm{d}x$. By Proposition 4.1 in [4], we have the second equality in (3.17).

3.6. **$\mathcal{A}_1$ is not an Upsilon transformation.** By Theorem 3.7, we obtain the following remarkable result.

**Theorem 3.10.** *The transformation $\mathcal{A}_1$ is not an Upsilon transformation $\Upsilon_\tau$ for any dilation measure $\tau$.*

*Proof.* Suppose that there is a measure $\tau$ on $(0, \infty)$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}_1(\rho)(B) = \int_0^\infty \rho(u^{-1}B)\tau(\mathrm{d}u) \quad \text{for } B \in \mathfrak{M}_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Then, we can show that

$$\mathcal{A}_1(\Upsilon^0(\rho)) = \Upsilon^0(\mathcal{A}_1(\rho)) \quad \text{for } \rho \in \mathfrak{M}_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Indeed, for any nonnegative measurable function $f$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)\mathcal{A}_1(\rho)(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_0^\infty \tau(\mathrm{d}u)\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(ux)\rho(\mathrm{d}x),$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y)\Upsilon^0(\rho)(\mathrm{d}y) = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-v}\mathrm{d}v\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(vy)\rho(\mathrm{d}y),$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_1(\Upsilon^0(\rho))(B) = \int_0^\infty \tau(\mathrm{d}u)\int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-v}\mathrm{d}v\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} 1_B(uvx)\rho(\mathrm{d}x) = \Upsilon^0(\mathcal{A}_1(\rho))(B).$$

Then, it follows from Theorem 3.7 that

$$\Upsilon_{-2,2}(\mathcal{A}_1(\rho)) = \Upsilon^0(\mathcal{A}_1(\rho)) \quad \text{for } \rho \in \mathfrak{M}_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Let $\widetilde{\rho} = \mathcal{A}_1(\rho)$. If $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|\, \rho(\mathrm{d}x) < \infty$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \Upsilon^0(\widetilde{\rho})(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-u}\mathrm{d}u \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} ux\widetilde{\rho}(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x\widetilde{\rho}(\mathrm{d}x)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \Upsilon_{-2,2}(\widetilde{\rho})(\mathrm{d}x) &= \int_0^\infty 2u\mathrm{e}^{-u^2}\mathrm{d}u \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} ux\widetilde{\rho}(\mathrm{d}x) \\ &= \int_0^\infty 2u^2\mathrm{e}^{-u^2}\mathrm{d}u \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x\widetilde{\rho}(\mathrm{d}x) = 2^{-1}\pi^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x\widetilde{\rho}(\mathrm{d}x).\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\Upsilon_{-2,2}(\widetilde{\rho}) \neq \Upsilon^0(\widetilde{\rho})$ whenever $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x\widetilde{\rho}(\mathrm{d}x) \neq 0$ (for example, choose $\rho = \delta_{e_1}, e_1 = (1, 0, ..., 0)$). This is a contradiction. Hence the measure $\tau$ does not exist. ☐

## 4. EXAMPLES

We conclude this paper with examples for Theorems 3.5 and 3.7, where the modified Bessel function $K_0$ plays an important role in the Lévy measure of infinitely divisible distributions. We only consider the one-dimensional case of Lévy measures concentrated on $(0, \infty)$. Multivariate extensions are possible by using polar decomposition.

By the well-known formula for the modified Bessel functions we have

$$K_0(x) = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-t-x^2/(4t)} t^{-1}\mathrm{d}t,\ x > 0.$$

An alternative expression is

$$K_0(x) = \int_1^\infty (t^2-1)^{-1/2}\mathrm{e}^{-xt}\mathrm{d}t,\ x > 0, \tag{4.1}$$

see (3.387.3) in [5, p.350]. It follows that $K_0(x)$ is completely monotone on $(0, \infty)$ and that $\int_0^\infty K_0(x)\mathrm{d}x = \pi/2$.

The Laplace transform of $K_0$ in $x > 0$ is

$$\varphi_{K_0}(s) := \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-sx} K_0(x)\mathrm{d}x = \begin{cases} (1-s^2)^{-1/2}\arccos(s), & 0 < s < 1 \\ 1, & s = 1 \\ (1-s^2)^{-1/2}\log(s + (s^2-1)^{1/2}), & s > 1, \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

see (6.611.9) in [5, p.695].

The following is an example of $\nu$ and $\widetilde{\nu}$ in Theorem 3.5 (b).

**Example 4.1.** Let

$$\widetilde{\nu}(\mathrm{d}x) = K_0(x)1_{(0,\infty)}(x)\mathrm{d}x$$

and

$$\nu(\mathrm{d}x) = 4^{-1}\pi x^{-1/2}\mathrm{e}^{-x^{1/2}}1_{(0,\infty)}(x)\mathrm{d}x. \tag{4.3}$$

Then $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_L^B(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathfrak{M}_L^1(\mathbb{R})$, and $\widetilde{\nu} = \mathcal{A}_1(\nu) \in \mathfrak{M}_L^G(\mathbb{R})$.

The proof is as follows. Since the function $x^{-1/2}\mathrm{e}^{-x^{1/2}}$ is completely monotone on $(0,\infty)$ and $\int_0^1 x\nu(\mathrm{d}x) < \infty$, we have $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_L^B(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathfrak{M}_L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Theorem 2.13, with $k = 1$, gives that for $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_1(\nu)(B) &= \int_0^1 \nu^{(1/2)}(u^{-1}B)2\pi^{-1}(1-u^2)^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}u \\
&= \int_0^1 2\pi^{-1}(1-u^2)^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}u \int_0^\infty 1_{u^{-1}B}(s^{1/2})\nu(\mathrm{d}s) \\
&= \int_0^1 2^{-1}(1-u^2)^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}u \int_0^\infty 1_B(us^{1/2})s^{-1/2}\mathrm{e}^{-s^{1/2}}\mathrm{d}s \\
&= \int_0^1 (1-u^2)^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}u \int_0^\infty 1_B(r)\mathrm{e}^{-r/u}\mathrm{d}r \\
&= \int_0^\infty 1_B(r)\mathrm{d}r \int_1^\infty (y^2-1)^{-1/2}\mathrm{e}^{-ry}\mathrm{d}y \\
&= \int_0^\infty 1_B(r)K_0(r)\mathrm{d}r = \widetilde{\nu}(B).
\end{aligned}$$

The fact that $\widetilde{\nu} \in \mathfrak{M}_L^G(\mathbb{R})$ can also be shown directly, since $K_0(x^{1/2})$ is again completely monotone in $x \in (0,\infty)$.

It follows from $\widetilde{\nu} \in \mathfrak{M}_L^G(\mathbb{R})$ that $\widetilde{\nu}$ is the Lévy measure of some generalized type $G$ distribution $\widetilde{\mu}$ on $\mathbb{R}$. Using (4.2), we find that this $\widetilde{\mu}$ is supported on $[0,\infty)$ if and only if it has Laplace transform

$$\int_{[0,\infty)} \mathrm{e}^{-sx}\widetilde{\mu}(\mathrm{d}x) = \exp\left\{-\gamma_0 s + \varphi_{K_0}(s) - 2^{-1}\pi\right\}$$

for some $\gamma_0 \geq 0$.

**Remark 4.2.** $\mathcal{A}_1(\nu)$ in Example 4.1 actually belongs to a smaller class $\mathfrak{M}_L^B(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore, in connection to Theorem 3.5, it might be interesting to find a necessary and sufficient condition on $\nu$ for that $\widetilde{\mu} \in B(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The $\nu$ in Example 4.1 also belongs to a smaller class than $\mathfrak{M}_L^B(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathfrak{M}_L^1(\mathbb{R})$. It belongs to the class of Lévy measures of distributions in $\mathfrak{R}(\Psi_{-1/2})$ studied in Theorem 4.2 of [12].

We now give an example of $\rho$ in Theorem 3.5 (c).

**Example 4.3.** Consider the following Lévy measure in $\mathfrak{M}_L^B(\mathbb{R})$:

$$\rho(\mathrm{d}x) = 4^{-1}\pi^{1/2}x^{-1/2}\mathrm{e}^{-x/4}1_{(0,\infty)}(x)\mathrm{d}x. \tag{4.4}$$

Then $\nu$ in (4.3) satisfies $\nu = \Upsilon^0(\rho)$.

To prove this, we compute the Upsilon transformation $\Upsilon^0$ of $\rho$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\Upsilon^0(\rho)(\mathrm{d}x) &= \int_0^\infty \rho(u^{-1}\mathrm{d}x)\mathrm{e}^{-u}\mathrm{d}u \\ &= 4^{-1}\pi^{1/2}x^{-1/2}\left(\int_0^\infty u^{-1/2}\mathrm{e}^{-u-x/(4u)}\mathrm{d}u\right)\mathrm{d}x.\end{aligned}$$

By formula (3.475.15) in [5, pp 369], we have

$$\int_0^\infty u^{-1/2}\mathrm{e}^{-u-x/(4u)}\mathrm{d}u = \pi^{1/2}\mathrm{e}^{-x^{1/2}}.$$

Hence, $\Upsilon^0(\rho)(\mathrm{d}x) = 4^{-1}\pi x^{-1/2}\mathrm{e}^{-x^{1/2}}\mathrm{d}x$ and from (4.3) we have $\nu = \Upsilon^0(\rho)$.

Since $\mathcal{A}_1(\nu) = \mathcal{A}_1(\Upsilon^0(\rho)) = \Upsilon_{-2,2}(\mathcal{A}_1(\rho))$ by Theorem 3.7, $\mathcal{A}_1(\rho)$ is also of interest.

**Example 4.4.** Let $\rho$ be as in (4.4). Then

$$\mathcal{A}_1(\rho)(\mathrm{d}x) = 2^{-1}\pi^{-1/2}\mathrm{e}^{-x^2/8}K_0(x^2/8)1_{(0,\infty)}(x)\mathrm{d}x. \tag{4.5}$$

The proof is as follows. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_1(\rho)(B) &= \int_0^1 \rho^{(1/2)}(u^{-1}B)2\pi^{-1}(1-u^2)^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}u \\ &= \int_0^1 2\pi^{-1}(1-u^2)^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}u\int_0^\infty 1_{u^{-1}B}(s^{1/2})\rho(\mathrm{d}s) \\ &= 2^{-1}\pi^{-1/2}\int_0^1(1-u^2)^{-1/2}\mathrm{d}u\int_0^\infty 1_{u^{-1}B}(s^{1/2})s^{-1/2}\mathrm{e}^{-s/4}\mathrm{d}s \\ &= \pi^{-1/2}\int_0^\infty 1_B(r)\mathrm{d}r\int_0^1 u^{-1}(1-u^2)^{-1/2}\mathrm{e}^{-r^2/(4u^2)}\mathrm{d}u \\ &= 2^{-1}\pi^{-1/2}\int_0^\infty 1_B(r)\mathrm{d}r\int_1^\infty y^{-1/2}(y-1)^{-1/2}\mathrm{e}^{-r^2y/4}\mathrm{d}y.\end{aligned}$$

Use (3.383.3) in [5, pp 347] to obtain

$$\int_1^\infty y^{-1/2}(y-1)^{-1/2}\mathrm{e}^{-r^2y/4}\mathrm{d}y = \mathrm{e}^{-r^2/8}K_0(r^2/8).$$

Thus we obtain (4.5).

**Remark 4.5.** The $\rho$ in (4.4) also belongs to $\mathfrak{M}_L^B(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathfrak{M}_L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore $\mathcal{A}_1(\rho)$ itself is another example of the Lévy measure of a generalized type $G$ distribution on $\mathbb{R}$.

## REFERENCES

[1] Arizmendi, O., Barndorff-Nielsen, O.E. and Pérez-Abreu, V. (2010): On free and classical type $G$ distributions. To appear in *Braz. J. Probab. Statist.*

[2] Barndorff-Nielsen, O.E., Maejima, M. and Sato, K. (2006): Some classes of multivariate infinitely divisible distributions admitting stochastic integral representations. *Bernoulli* **12**, 1-33.

[3] Barndorff-Nielsen, O.E. and Maejima, M. (2008): Semigroups of Upsilon transformations. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **118**, 2334-2343.

[4] Barndorff-Nielsen, O.E., Rosiński, J. and Thorbjørnsen, S. (2008): General $\Upsilon$ transformations. *ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Statist.* **4**, 131-165.

[5] Gradshteyn, I.S. and Ryzhik, M. (2007): *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products,* Seventh Edition. Elsevier, London.

[6] Jurek, Z.J. (1985): Relations between the $s$-selfdecomposable and selfdecomposable measures. *Ann. Probab.* **13**, 592-608.

[7] Maejima, M., Matsui, M. and Suzuki, S (2010): *Classes of infinitely divisible distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ related to the class of selfdecomposable distributions.* To appear in *Tokyo J. Math.*

[8] Maejima, M. and Nakahara, G. (2009): A note on new classes of infinitely divisible distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$. *Elect. Comm. Probab.* **14**, 358-371.

[9] Maejima, M. and Sato, K. (2009): The limits of nested subclasses of several classes of infinitely divisible distributions are identical with the closure of the class of stable distributions. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **145**, 119-142.

[10] Rosinski, J. (1990): On series representations of infinitely divisible random vectors. *Ann. Probab.* **18**, 405-430.

[11] Sato, K. (2006a): Additive processes and stochastic integrals. *Illinois J. Math.* **50**, 825-851.

[12] Sato, K. (2006b): Two families of improper stochastic integrals with respect to Lévy processes. *ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Statist.* **1**, 47-87.

[13] Sato, K. (2007): Transformations of infinitely divisible distributions via improper stochastic integrals. *ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Statist.* **3**, 67-110.

[14] Sato, K. (2010): Fractional integrals and extensions of selfdecomposability. To appear in *Lecture Notes in Mathematics "Lévy Matters"*, Springer.